

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.]]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/062,141	•	01/31/2002	Richard W. Sexton	SDP271PA	2522		
1333	7590	01/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATENT I			CHEA,	CHEA, THORL			
EASTMAN 343 STATE	-	COMPANY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCHESTE	ER, NY	14650-2201		1752	1752		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				راالہ
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(if
Office Action Summary		10/062,141	SEXTON, RICHARD W.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thorl Chea	1752	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 FIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2004.		
• -		action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merit	ls is
·	closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 19-21</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or expending the subject to restriction and sub	withdrawn from consideration.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)		•	
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	20011 (P10-102)	

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed fails to provide support for the language sacrificial controlled releasable layer presented in claims 11, 14-16.

The present specification disclosure, [0018] pointed out in the applicants'response does not contains such language, but resist layer that can then be chemically stripped from the orifice plate. The terminology such as "sacrificial" was found nowhere in the specification as originally filed. Therefore, it raises the issue of new concept that was not presented at the time the invention was made, and accordingly, raises the issue of new matter.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope of protection sought for the term "sacrificial controlled releasable layer" is indefinite since the specification as originally filed fails clearly define such term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hulderman et al (Hulderman).

See Figs in Sheet 7-9, Figs 12A to 12H; Figs. 13A to 13D; Figs 14A to 14G which contains photoresist layer and the metal layer (Fig 13D; 14F) wherein the photoresist layer was removed after the formation of metal layer. The anticipation of the claimed invention is based on the mandrel having a substrate, a controlled-release layer and a conductive metal layer within the meaning taught in Hulderman; the limitation provided in the claims "(t)he mandrel and the controlled-release layer provide sufficient adhesion to the substrate base to prevent the electroformed structure from delaminating from the substrate during the electroforming processes and still provide mean to remove the electroformed structure from the substrate base without damage to either the electroformed structure or the substrate" fails to further structural limitation of the confusing language set forth in the paragraph above, and is considered as an

inherent characteristic associated with the substrate, the photoresist layer and the conductive layer. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to use the process taught in Hulderman using the substrate, photoresist layer and the electroform process to provide a diverse structure.

8. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lam et al (US Patent No. 4,773,971). The invention as claimed is read on the mandrel of claim 8 in column 6 of Lam et al which having a glass substrate, an adhesion sheet layer and a stainless steel sheet layer. The adhesion layer and steel layer encompass the scope of controlled-release layer and a conductive layer claimed in the present claimed invention. Therefore, the claimed invention lacks novelty.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed November 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for same reason set forth in the response the argument in the previous office action and provided such as shown below.

The material in Fig.14 F still read on the claimed mandrel since material has a substrate, a photoresist layer and conductive layer. The control-releasable layer claimed in the present invention and disclosed in the specification includes the photoresist composition, and photoresist layer is adhere to the substrate and present the electroform structure from the substrate, and the electroformed structure is removed from the substrate and photoresist layer. The argument with respect to ink jet printing application is direct to intended use of the mandrel having a release layer and the conductive layer that can be used in the electroformed process. Moreover, the language such as the use of the mandrel in the formation of an orifice plated for used in the ink

jet system is not presented in the claimed invention, but "A mandrel for used in a dimensional electroformed structures", which encompasses any structure taught in Hulderman et al.

The invention taught in Hulderman et al contains a resist layer which is used as a controlled releasable layer present in the specification disclosure, and the resist layer can be removed without causing any damage to the substate and the electroformed layer. dimensional structure having an orifice in Figs 2 –9.

The invention in Lam et al has an adhesive layer taught in column 6, claim 8; in column 4, lines 20-29 wherein the chrome layer 5-7 adheres well into the substrate 5-5, and the separation of the substrarte from the conductive layer after the electroform process in column 4, lines 30-68 to column 5, lines 1-13. see also the three dimensitional structure Fig. 4C which contains an orifice and the process Fig. 5B-Fig. 8C. The adhesive layer cause no damage to the conductive layer and the substrate, and function within the meaning of sacrificial controlled releasable layer presented in the claimed invention.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 Application/Control Number: 10/062,141

Art Unit: 1752

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571) 272-1328. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea LUA January 12, 2005 Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

Thokha

Page 6